IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Rob G. Parrish

Serial No.: 09/501,793

Washington, D.C. 20231

Filed:

2/10/00

Title:

Trailer Rack Rac

The Assistant Commissioner for Patents

Group Art Unit:

Examiner:

2167

RECEIVED

Andrew J. Fischer

Technology Center 2100

Atty Docket No.:

P30321US

Certificate of Mailing by First Class Mail
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the
United States Postal Service as First Class Mail with sufficient
postage in an envelope addressed to: The Assistant Commissioner
for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, on the date of signature,

below.

Signature

Date

Enile a. Ortennile

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Please find enclosed for filing in the above-referenced case:

- Response to the office action dated 01/03/01.
- Stamped and self-addressed return postcard, for date stamping by the USPTO to indicate submission of the response to the office action dated 01/03/01.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge or credit Deposit Account No. 01-2511 for any additional filing fees required or overpaid.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: January 17, 2001

Gordon Arnold

Reg. No. 32,395 Arnold & Associates

2603 Augusta, Suite 800

Houston, TX 77057

Tel: (713) 972-1150 Fax: (713) 972-1180

ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Rob G. Parr

Serial No.:

09/501,793

Filed:

2/10/00

Title:

Trailer Rack Rack

Group Art Unit:

Examiner:

ARK OFFICE

RECEIVED

Andrew J. Fischerhnology Center 2100

Atty Docket No.:

P30321US

The Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Certificate of Mailing by First Class Mail I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail with sufficient postage in an envelope addressed to: The Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, on the date of signature, below.

Date

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION OF JANUARY 3, 2001

Dear Sir:

The office action of January 3, 2001 included a restriction requirement to one of two "distinct" inventions.1 Applicant elects with traverse and respectfully requests reconsideration of the restriction requirement.

Election

Applicant elects to prosecute claims 1-20 and 37-58.

Argument

Applicant elects with traverse because the claimed inventions are not distinct. "The term 'distinct' means that two or more subjects as disclosed are related," "but are capable of separate manufacture, use or sale as claimed and are patentable over each other."²

Office Action of January 3, 2001, page 2, issued against Rob G. Parrish, Trailer Rack Rack (United States Serial Number 09/501,793; Filed 2/10/00) (Atty Docket No. P30321US).

² Manual of Patent Practice & Procedure § 802.01 (2000).